

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

CASCADE YARNS, INC., a Washington
corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

KNITTING FEVER, INC., a New York
corporation, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C10-861RSM


ORDER DENYING MOTION
TO EXTEND TIME

This matter is before the Court for consideration of defendants’ motion to extend time to file an answer. Dkt. # 7. Defendants assert that although defendants Knitting Fever, Inc., Sion Elalouf, and Debbie Bliss were served with copies of the amended complaint on June 12, 2010, and other defendants were served after that, counsel did not receive a copy of either the original or the amended complaint until “the week of June 28”. Motion to Extend Time to Answer, Dkt. # 6, ¶¶ 1,2, 4. Counsel for defendant states that several attempts have been made to request an extension from plaintiff’s counsel, but that plaintiff’s counsel has failed to respond. *Id.*, ¶¶ 7-11. Plaintiff has opposed defendants’ request for an extension of time to answer. Dkt. # 18.

1 The Court finds that defendants have not shown adequate cause for an extension of time to
2 answer. Although the request is based on the fact that counsel did not receive a copy of the complaint
3 and amended complaint until June 28, no explanation has been offered as to why defendants Knitting
4 Fever, Inc., Sion Elalouf, and Debbie Bliss waited more than two weeks before delivering the complaint
5 and amended complaint to counsel. The Court notes that defense counsel has been representing these
6 same defendants in an action in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
7 since at least September 24, 2008. *See, The Knit With v. Knitting Fever, Inc., et al.*, Cause No. 2:08-cv-
8 04221-RB, Dkt. # 8 (Motion to Dismiss filed by Joshua Slavitt). Such representation has continued at
9 least through June 2010. *Id.*

10 As defendants have failed to demonstrate good cause for an extension of time to answer, the
11 motion (Dkt. # 7) is DENIED.

12 DATE: July 21, 2010.

13
14 

15 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE